

From: [Norfolk Vanguard](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Norfolk Vanguard](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed route for cables Vattenfall
Date: 07 March 2019 12:52:18

From: B ROSSINGTON [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 March 2019 23:04
To: Norfolk Vanguard <NorfolkVanguard@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: Proposed route for cables Vattenfall

Dear Sirs,

Proposed route for cables Vattenfall

As a resident of Cawston for the past 20 years I am writing to you to object to the above. I am listing below my reason for my objections:-

Coming from Cawston roundabout into the village Aspen Vale a detached house is situated on the left hand side when entering the village. The B1145 is well above this property and there is a large drop due to excavations when the area was dug out for clay for brick making many years ago. An increase in heavy traffic will cause subsidence thus undermining the roadway. This has happened before with just an ordinary flow of traffic.

A retaining wall supporting properties on the left hand side of the B1145 between Cooks Hill and the Market Place is already showing signs of deterioration and with the proposed increase in heavy traffic this is likely to cause further problems.

Market Hill has All Things Nice situated on the left hand side which is a Café/delicatessens. With the proposed parking restrictions and no parking around Chapel Street, this will not only affect the Café business but also affect residents in the area who park their cars outside their properties. Unfortunately the residents have no other options for parking. We have a very limited bus route so residents are reliant on their vehicles to get to and from work.

Cawston High Street is made up of very old building a lot of which are listed, heavy vehicles will certainly cause damage to these very old properties.

At the far end of the High Street is an old railway bridge, the road is narrow here and this has caused a lot of collisions with the bridge over the years. Any increase in traffic flow means more risk of accidents with this bridge. There is no pavement over this bridge which means, pedestrians, school children, wheelchair users and elderly people have to cross this bridge with traffic flowing in both directions. With the size and weight of the proposed vehicles in questions

this will be a disaster waiting to happen. Children living to the west of this bridge have to cross it twice daily to go to the local primary school and to catch the bus to Reepham High School.

Further down on the B1145 is Salle Beck which is winding and very narrow and there is no room now for two large vehicles to pass and even with cars it is a major hazard.

Cawston has a Winery which has large articulate lorries coming down the B1145 and turning into Chapel Street, with the proposed cable lorries this again will cause serious congestion issues.

Twice a day coaches come in and out of the village to transport children to Reepham High School. At the present time this causes a build up of traffic and congestion in the high street without having more large cable lorries to add to the problem.

The amount of lorries proposed each day coming through Cawston will contravene the Human Rights Act and interfere with the peaceful enjoyment of our properties.

The above are the reason for my objection to the proposed routing of lorries through Cawston Village by Vattenfall.

I hope a more suitable route can be agreed so that our village of Cawston can be left as it is without damage and upset to our residents.

Yours faithfully,

Frances L. Rossington